COP 31 — SIDE EVENT — CONCEPT NOTE

Strengthening Institutional Coordination and Climate Finance Tracking

Budget Tagging and Sustainability Reporting

A technical dialogue on building a coherent system that links institutional responsibilities, climate budget tagging, finance tracking and reporting in Tanzania.

VENUE	DATE	TIME	ORGANISER
URT Pavilion	13 Nov 2026	14:30–15:30	Ministry of Finance

Event at a glance

Proposed convenor	Ministry of Finance, United Republic of Tanzania
Proposed partners	MDAs, Development Partners, Peer Countries Ministry of Finance – Mainland & Zanzibar, VPO, BOT, Uganda Ministry of Finance, FSDT
Date and venue	13 November 2026, 14:30–15:30, URT Pavilion
Allocated time	60 minutes
Proposed format	Opening and closing remarks, and technical dialogue

1 Background and rationale

Climate finance delivers results when institutions can coordinate priorities, identify climate-related allocations, track resources through implementation and report what those resources achieve.

Fragmented responsibilities, inconsistent classifications and incomplete expenditure information can weaken this chain, making it difficult to assess how financing supports national climate and development priorities.

STEP 01	STEP 02	STEP 03	STEP 04
Coordinate	**Tag**	**Track**	**Report**
Align priorities across institutions	Identify climate-related allocations	Follow resources through implementation	Show what resources achieve

Tanzania's climate finance agenda brings together the Ministry of Finance, the Vice President's Office, Government institutions, local government authorities and relevant institutions in Zanzibar. Tanzania has identified Objective X and specific budget codes as mechanisms for identifying climate-related activities in institutional plans and budgets. It also highlights the need for consistent climate expenditure tracking, stronger institutional capacity and reporting that distinguishes pledges, approvals, disbursements and actual expenditure.

This side event will examine how these arrangements can develop into a coherent system connecting institutional responsibilities, climate budget tagging, climate finance tracking and sustainability reporting.

> **WORKING DEFINITION**
>
> For this dialogue, **sustainability reporting** means public-sector reporting that links climate finance and expenditure with environmental and social outcomes, resilient services and national climate and development objectives. The discussion will address practical reporting methods and accountability arrangements rather than prescribe a particular reporting standard.

2 **OVERALL OBJECTIVE**

To identify practical actions and partnerships that strengthen institutional coordination and establish consistent approaches to climate finance tracking, budget tagging and sustainability reporting in Tanzania.

3 Specific objectives

01 Clarify institutional mandates, coordination arrangements and data-sharing responsibilities across finance, climate, sector and local government institutions.

02 Identify improvements to climate budget tagging, including common definitions, eligibility criteria, quality assurance and consistent application across the budget cycle.

03 Explore how to track domestic and external climate finance from allocation and approval through disbursement, expenditure and implementation, while avoiding double counting.

04 Define practical approaches to sustainability reporting that connect financial information with measurable climate, environmental and social results.

05 Identify technical assistance priorities, responsible focal points and a proposed follow-up process.

4 Guiding questions

Q1 What initiatives and institutional arrangements are currently in place in Tanzania to coordinate climate finance, what gaps have been identified, and how can they be addressed?

Q2 What progress has Tanzania made in initiating climate budget tagging, and what implementation challenges and capacity gaps remain?

Q3 How would your institution be interested in supporting Tanzania's climate budget tagging efforts, particularly in addressing implementation challenges and capacity gaps, and what additional areas of cooperation would you propose?

Q4 As Tanzania mobilises climate finance, are there systems in place to track the resources received, allocated and spent? What gaps need to be addressed?

Q5 What initiatives are in place for sustainability reporting, including reporting on the results of climate-related expenditure, and what gaps remain?

Q6 What experiences and good practices from other countries could inform Tanzania's efforts in these areas?

NOTE TO DONORS AND DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

Donors and development partners are invited to indicate their interest in providing technical or financial support for institutional coordination, climate budget tagging, climate finance tracking and sustainability reporting. Suggestions for additional areas of cooperation are welcome, including capacity development, data sharing and system integration, peer learning, reporting tools and joint pilot initiatives aligned with Tanzania's priorities.

5 Participants and indicative programme

Proposed participants include MoF Management and Staff, MDAs and Development Partners.

TIME	SESSION	RESPONSIBLE
14:30–14:40	**Opening remarks**	DSPFM
14:40–14:45	**Setting the scene**	Moderator
14:45–15:15	**Panel discussion**	Panellists
15:15–15:25	**Audience dialogue** Implementation constraints and technical support	[TBC]
15:25–15:30	**Closing and next steps**	Representative

6 Expected outcomes

- A proposed **institutional responsibility matrix** covering coordination, data production, validation, consolidation and disclosure.
- An agreed set of **priority improvements** for climate budget tagging and finance tracking, including definitions, quality checks and reconciliation needs.
- An outline for a **minimum climate finance and sustainability reporting framework** covering financial flows, implementation progress, results and data limitations.
- An indicative **technical assistance roadmap** with focal points and milestones, subject to institutional approval.

7 Proposed follow-up and partnership priorities

30 60 90

WITHIN 30 DAYS

Convening institutions confirm technical focal points and map existing mandates, data sources, reporting products and capacity gaps.

BY DAY 60

A technical working session develops a draft responsibility matrix, minimum data fields, tagging quality checks and an outline reporting framework.

WITHIN 90 DAYS

Institutions aim to agree a scoped pilot, reporting calendar and capacity-building plan, subject to approval and available support.

Partnership areas

Partners would be invited to support institutional coordination, tagging guidance and training, data reconciliation, reporting tools and results measurement.

A pilot approach

A pilot could test the approach in selected institutions using existing systems, with its scope, ownership and resource requirements agreed before implementation.

HOW PROGRESS COULD BE ASSESSED

Timely submissions	Completeness of records	Documented tag reviews	Reconciled financial totals

Baselines and targets would be set during scoping.

8 Organisation and logistics

The proposed convenor would coordinate programme development, invitations and follow-up with relevant institutions.

Join the dialogue

URT Pavilion · 13 November 2026 · 14:30–15:30

MINISTRY OF FINANCE